

(A) Please see below a copy of my oral submission at CAH 2 on day 2 (B) My response to national grids feedback provided at the CAH 2

(A) My name is Bianca Moller, I represent myself and soon to be husband Robert Moore, The proposed pylon route is set to run through our family farm and our outdoor wedding venue. We are situated in one of the most rural parts of South Norfolk and are one of only a handful of outdoor wedding venues in the whole of Norfolk. Our unique selling point is the remote rural location and panoramic views. On a clear day you can see the chimneys of Cantley Sugarbeet factory - 17 miles away! You can only imagine the impact a 50m pylon would have in this location!

The new pylon line is set to run along the picturesque east and south side of the farm. Due to the nature of the area being mostly rolling hills, open fields and a completely unobstructed landscape with not another property in sight, is rare and makes for a beautiful backdrop for some stunning wedding photos. The addition of steel pylons across the landscape destroys the unique selling point of our venue, and the beauty of the surrounding area.

Where the new pylon route is proposed to run, part of our farm near pylon RG31 will also become inaccessible, due to there being no road access to our field immediately to the east. I have already requested on numerous occasions, alongside other local landowners that pylons between RG28 - RG33 be placed further down the hill into the valley to the east, allowing us more use of the field and complying far better with the Holford rules 3, 4 & 5, siting the route much more neatly in a valley between two hills. By doing this you would lose approximately 15 meters of height from the pylons. It would also improve the views greatly from our wedding venue, as the pylon line would not wrap around to the south so much and affect far less properties in the local area of Fundenhall, Tacolneston, Hapton and Forncett, alongside many local businesses.

Myself and other local farmers and landowners along this section of the pylon route have also made known in their feedback they would prefer the pylons to be routed through the valley as their preferred route (yellow line on plan). Each landowner has their own personal reasons for this alongside a general care for the aesthetic of the local countryside. In this instance I feel the feedback we have provided during the consultation stages has not been listened to.

I'll outline now some of the main benefits of the route the local landowners are proposing (yellow route) over national grids proposed route:

- Straighter more direct route, using less angled pylons and cabling
- Sites the pylons on field boundaries, as opposed to open countryside
- Lower ground therefore less intrusive to the local views / landscape
- Complies better with Holford rules 3, 4 & 5
- Would not need to take out a whole hedge row between pylons RG30 & RG31
- A veteran oak tree is saved or would not require intensive pollarding
- We would have use of more of the field to the east of pylon RG31 for farming practices
- After pylon RG33 the route would cross through a meadow instead of a belt of trees.

(B) 12.5.26 - The feedback National Grid referenced in their response during the CAH2 document reference 10-22.46. I have since reviewed the document reference and consider some of the feedback to be inaccurate.

1) It has been stated by National Grid that you would need to cross Hapton country wildlife site (CWS) should the alternative alignment be taken. I would like to note that the CWS is situated to the east of the farmers proposed alternative route, therefore you would not need to cross it. I think National Grid should revisit their maps and familiarise themselves with where the boundary lies for the CWS and surrounding meadow land.

2) It would not be bringing the alignment closer to more properties, it would be moving it away and reducing the visibility of the pylons from a greater number of properties especially those situated on and near the stretch of Norwich Road from Fundenhall through into Tacolneston.

In closing we look forward to welcoming the Planning Inspectorate to the accompanied site inspection on 27th May 2026